



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,709	06/30/2000	Guangxin Wang	30-5031(4016)	2249

7590 04/09/2003

DAVID G. LATWESEN, PH. D.
WELLS, ST. JOHN
601 WEST FIRST AVENUE
SUITE 1300
SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER

PHASGE, ARUN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1753

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Applicati n No.

09/608,709

pplicant(s)

WANG ET AL.

Examiner

Arun S. Phasge

Art Unit

1753

-- The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nc address --

Period f r Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 25-69 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27,34,41,44 and 62 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 25-26, 28-33, 35-40, 42-43, 45-61, 63-69 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 25-26, 28-33, 35-40, 42-43, 45-61, 63-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shindo of record for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-26, 28-33, 35-40, 42-43, 45-61, 63-69 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that although the prior art range includes the claimed values, the reference does not "provide any specific examples of any Cr content.

Disclosure of reference must be considered for what it fairly teaches one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Meinhardt*, 157 U.S.P.Q. 270. There is no requirement under the statutes that each limitation be exhaustively disclosed. Rather a general teaching is sufficient to disclose the scope of the invention. Accordingly, the teaching of the range disclosed in the Shindo patent would render obvious the claimed product.

Applicants further attempt to show an unobvious benefit of the purified cobalt by citing the use in sputtering and the problems associated with impure cobalt used in sputtering. The Shindo purified cobalt is also used for sputtering and accordingly, the product would have to be pure.

Art Unit: 1753

Applicants further argue that the Shindo patent does not disclose or suggest any method of achieving the recited amounts of impurities. Applicants are reminded that they are claiming a product and only the product is given patentable weight. Even in product-by-process claims, it has been well settled that patentability of the product that has to be established.

The invention defined in a product-by-process claim is a product, not a process. *In re Bridgeford*, 149 U.S.P.Q. 55 C.C.P.A. (1966). It is the patentability of the product claimed and NOT of the recited process steps, which must be established. *In re Brown*, 173 U.S.P.Q. 685 C.C.P.A. (1972); *In re Wertheim*, 191 U.S.P.Q. 90 C.C.P.A. (1976).

Therefore, since the Shindo patent discloses the ranges of impurities and the purified cobalt shaped as sputtering targets, to modify the disclosure to obtain selected values within the range disclosed would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, because the ordinary artisan by routine experimentation would obtain the claimed values.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1753

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (703) 308-2528. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Arun Phasge', with a stylized, looped 'P'.

Arun S. Phasge
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1753

asp
April 7, 2003